

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

To: GLAXOSMITHKLINE Corporate Intellectual Property Attn. Baker, Suzanne Jane 980 Great West Road (CN925.1) Brentford, Middlesex TW8 9GS UNITED KINGDOM	GlaxoSmithKline Corporate IP Received BRENTFORD 24 SEP 2004	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1)									
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%; padding: 2px;">ATTY:</td> <td style="width: 15%; padding: 2px;">Date of mailing (day/month/year)</td> <td style="width: 70%; padding: 2px;">24/09/2004</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="padding: 2px;">IPM: N/A ON</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">UPDATED ON: .</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="padding: 2px;">ATTY CHECKED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> FURTHER ACTION</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">See paragraphs 1 and 4 below</td> </tr> </table>		ATTY:	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	24/09/2004	IPM: N/A ON		UPDATED ON: .	ATTY CHECKED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> FURTHER ACTION		See paragraphs 1 and 4 below	
ATTY:	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	24/09/2004									
IPM: N/A ON		UPDATED ON: .									
ATTY CHECKED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> FURTHER ACTION		See paragraphs 1 and 4 below									
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant's or agent's file reference SJB-PB60266</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">International filing date (day/month/year)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="padding: 2px; text-align: center;">PCT/EP2004/006591</td> </tr> </table>		Applicant's or agent's file reference SJB-PB60266	International filing date (day/month/year)	PCT/EP2004/006591		17/06/2004					
Applicant's or agent's file reference SJB-PB60266	International filing date (day/month/year)										
PCT/EP2004/006591											
<p>Applicant GLAXO GROUP LIMITED</p>											

1. The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):

When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.

3. **With regard to the protest** against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:

the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
 no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. **Reminders**

Shortly after the expiration of **18 months** from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within **19 months** from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until **30 months** from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within **20 months** from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of **30 months** (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

<p>Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority</p> <p>European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016</p>	<p>Authorized officer</p> <p>Federico Bonomelli</p>
--	---

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
"Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
"Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
"Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
"Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
"Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SJB-PB60266	FOR FURTHER ACTION		see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006591	International filing date (day/month/year) 17/06/2004	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 19/06/2003	
Applicant GLAXO GROUP LIMITED			

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 5 sheets.

It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. **Basis of the report**
 - a. With regard to the **language**, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

 The International search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

 b. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.
 2. **Certain claims were found unsearchable** (See Box II).
 3. **Unity of invention is lacking** (see Box III).
4. With regard to the **title**,

 the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

 the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:
2-PYRROLIDONE DERIVATIVES AND USE THEREOF AS FACTOR XA INHIBITORS
5. With regard to the **abstract**,

 the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

 the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regards to the **drawings**,
 - a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. _____

 as suggested by the applicant.

 as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

 as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.
 - b. none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.


INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006591

Box No. IV Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

The invention relates to compounds of formula (I):



(I)

and/or pharmaceutically acceptable derivative thereof. The invention also relates to processes for the preparation of compounds of formula (I), pharmaceutical compositions containing compounds of formula (I) and to the use of compounds of formula (I) in medicine, particularly in the amelioration of a clinical condition for which a Factor Xa inhibitor is indicated.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/006591

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 A61K31/4025 A61K31/4015 C07D207/26 C07D409/12 C07D409/14
A61P7/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C07D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, CHEM ABS Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, X	WO 03/053925 A (KLEANTHOUS SAVVAS ; SHAH GITA PUNJABHAI (GB); YOUNG ROBERT JOHN (GB);) 3 July 2003 (2003-07-03) claims 1,30 -----	1-13
Y	WO 98/24784 A (CHOI SLEDESKI YONG MI ; PAULS HEINZ W (US); EWING WILLIAM R (US); SPAD) 11 June 1998 (1998-06-11) claims 1,54 -----	1-13
Y	WO 01/39759 A (AVENTIS PHARMA GMBH) 7 June 2001 (2001-06-07) claim 1 -----	1-13
A	WO 03/043981 A (KLEANTHOUS SAVVAS ; YOUNG ROBERT JOHN (GB); SENGER STEFAN (GB); CHAN C) 30 May 2003 (2003-05-30) claims 1,12 -----	1-13

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

& document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

15 September 2004

24/09/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Béribillon, L

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORTInternational application No.
PCT/EP2004/006591**Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)**

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. Claims Nos.: 12 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Although claim 12 is directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application, as follows:

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/006591

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 03053925	A	03-07-2003	CA WO EP	2471461 A1 03053925 A1 1456172 A1	03-07-2003 03-07-2003 15-09-2004
WO 9824784	A	11-06-1998	US AP AU AU BG BR CA CN CN CZ EA EP HU HU JP NO OA PL SI SK WO US ZA	5731315 A 800 A 727810 B2 6012198 A 102725 A 9707489 A 2245699 A1 1418882 A 1213370 A ,B 9802757 A3 1739 B1 0894088 A1 9801882 A2 9903336 A2 2000505815 T 983603 A 10823 A 328618 A1 9720019 A 122398 A3 9824784 A1 6034093 A 9710968 A	24-03-1998 19-01-2000 21-12-2000 29-06-1998 31-03-1999 27-07-1999 11-06-1998 21-05-2003 07-04-1999 13-01-1999 27-08-2001 03-02-1999 28-12-1998 28-12-2000 16-05-2000 05-10-1998 24-07-2001 01-02-1999 28-02-1999 11-01-1999 11-06-1998 07-03-2000 22-07-1998
WO 0139759	A	07-06-2001	US AU WO US	6281227 B1 1704201 A 0139759 A2 2002013310 A1	28-08-2001 12-06-2001 07-06-2001 31-01-2002
WO 03043981	A	30-05-2003	EP WO	1444201 A1 03043981 A1	11-08-2004 30-05-2003

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006591	International filing date (day/month/year) 17.06.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.06.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K31/4025, A61K31/4015, C07D207/26, C07D409/12, C07D409/14, A61P7/00		
Applicant GLAXO GROUP LIMITED		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Bérillon, L

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7078



IAP20 Rec'd PCT PTO 19 DEC 2005

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. **type of material:**
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. **format of material:**
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. **time of filing/furnishing:**
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

Box No. II Priority

1. The following document has not been furnished:
 - copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
 - translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and Industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

the entire international application,
 claims Nos. 12

because:

the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 12 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

see separate sheet

the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
 the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
 no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.
 the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form

has not been furnished

does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form

has not been furnished

does not comply with the standard

the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

See separate sheet for further details

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006591

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-13

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims
No: Claims 1-13

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-11,13
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

Re Item III

**Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and
industrial applicability**

Claim 12 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

**Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1 Prior art

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 98/24784
D2: WO 01/39759
D3: WO 03/043981

2 Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

2.1 The present compounds differ from those disclosed in D1 in view of their amide group -CON(R3)-.

2.2 The present compounds differ from those disclosed in D2 and D3 in view of their group X directly bound to the nitrogen atom of the pyrrolidone ring.

3 Inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)

The technical problem underlying the present application is regarded as the provision of further compounds useful as factor Xa inhibitors. Said problem has been allegedly solved by the present compounds of formula (I). For the purpose of assessing

inventive step during the International Preliminary Examination it is assumed that these compounds indeed are factor Xa inhibitors i.e. solve the above defined technical problem.

D1 which represents the closest prior art discloses compounds having said activity which are structurally related: they differ only in respect of the definitions of substituents X_5 and X_{5a} (see D1, claim 1, formula I with n is 1, m is 1, X_2 and X_{2a} form oxo and A is S). Said substituents X^5 and X^{5a} taken together are =NR5 whereas in the present compounds an oxo group is present in this position. It is noted however, that D2 discloses compounds having an oxo group in this position (see D2, claim 1 with X_5 is $R_5 R_6 NCO$ -. Accordingly, the skilled person aware of D1 and D2 would have reached the present compounds by combining said documents D1 and D2 without the exercise of inventive step.

Inventive step could only be acknowledged if the present compounds were shown to exhibit unexpected properties (i.e. to solve unexpectedly a problem not yet solved) when compared to the structurally closest related compounds according to D1.

4 Industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT)

For the assessment of present claim 12 on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item VI

Certain documents cited

The priority document pertaining to the present application was not available at the time of establishing this international preliminary examination report. Hence, it is based on the assumption that all claims enjoy priority rights from the filing date of the priority document. If it later turns out that this is not correct, the P-document cited in the international search report could become relevant to assess whether the claims satisfy the criteria set forth in Article 33(1) PCT.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

The present claims do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The functional term "pharmaceutically acceptable derivative thereof" does not enable the skilled person to determine which technical features are necessary to perform the stated function. It is thus unclear which specific compounds fall within the scope of said claims.